



Overview:

As part of the Production Readiness Review (PRR), projects must demonstrate the existence of core documents. These documents are available to the business channel, transferred to the solution operator, or retained by the solution developer.

Value added by the Enterprise Repository:

When the Enterprise Repository is approved and established, core project documentation, in particular those documents necessary to support production will become easily available to anyone who needs this information. The Enterprise Repository will thus serve to improve the maintainability of the system. *This documentation availability becomes especially important in the case of transition of production support from one organization to another, for example, from the Developer to a different Support organization.*

Measurement:

The following table provides the format for establishing a baseline for the numbers of projects contributing to the Enterprise Repository. When completed, the table will show how many projects have gone, or will go, from development into production through the PRR by fiscal year and by project type. The projects are also classified by what organization provides Production Support. Table 2 shows some preliminary data that will be used to populate Table 1.

Table 1 (Draft): Number of Modernization Project Production Readiness Reviews (PRR) by Fiscal Year and by Project Type

	Production Support & Developer - Same Organization	Share-in-Savings	FSA/CIO	Developer & Production Support - Different Organizations
FY 2001				
FY 2002 (Completed)				
FY2002 (Scheduled)				
FY 2003 (Projected)				



The following table (draft) represents some of the preliminary data that will be used to populate Table 1.

Table 2 (Draft) – Listing of Modernization Projects and PRR dates

Project Name	Type of Project*	PRR Date	Fiscal Year	Status
COD Release 1	SIS	3/18/02	02	Completed
COD Release 1.1		5/01/02	02	Completed?
Credit Management Data Mart		2/15/02	02	Completed
Consistent Answers – Release 1		6/10/02	02	Scheduled
Consistent Answers – Release 2		11/11/02	03	Scheduled
e-Servicing		5/08/02	02	Scheduled
Portals Rollout - Students		4/26/02	02	Completed
Portals Rollout – Financial Partners		4/26/02	02	Completed
Financial Partners Data Mart – Release 2		5/10/02	02	Scheduled
Financial Partners Data Mart – Release 3		11/19/02	03	Scheduled
e-Campus Based – Release 2	Different Org	TBD	02	Projected
Lender Redesign Phase I (LAP)		5/6/02	02	Completed
Lender Redesign Phase II (LAP)		TBD	03?	Projected
Lender Redesign Phase III (LARS)		TBD	02	Scheduled
e-Audit		TBD	03	Projected
FAFSA on the Web 6.0		12/21/01	02	Completed
FAFSA on the Web 7.0		TBD	03?	Projected
Students.gov	FSA/CIO	3/14/02	02	Completed
Analysis PDD Program Guidance	FSA/CIO	5/10/02	02	Scheduled
FSA Net Redesign – Version 2	FSA/CIO	9/03/02	02	Scheduled
FSA Coach	FSA/CIO	4/08/02	02	Completed
Ombudsman Internet Redesign	FSA/CIO	TBD	02	Projected
FSA Program Analysis Division (PAD)	FSA/CIO	TBD	03?	Projected
FMS Phase IV – Release 1		2/28/02	02	Completed
FARS Retirement		3/06/02	02	Completed
Electronic Data Management		1/22/02	02	Completed
HR Modernization		10/25/02	02	Completed
SFA to the Internet		12/15/02	02	Completed
LMS		3/22/02	02	Completed

*Types of Projects:

- *SIS* (Share-in-Savings) – long-term value proposition (e.g. COD)
- *Same Org.* - Production Support organization & the Developer are the same organization (e.g. FMS)
- *Different Org.* - The Developer turns over Production Support to a different organization (e.g. eCB)
- *FSA/CIO* – FSA/CIO Develops and Supports projects (e.g. FSA Coach)