

Enterprise Architecture Architecture Working Group Meeting Minutes 09/28/04

Location:	830 1 st Street, NE, UCP (room 112B1), 9:30 – 11:00		
Present:	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Denise Hill, FSA Enterprise Architecture Vicki Adkins, Schools Jackie Anderson, FP Mark Anderson, EPMS Randy Blaustein, EAI / ITA (PPS) Tim Bornholtz, CIO Emanuel Bundy, FP Larry Doe, ILSC (Anteon) David Elliott, CIO Sandy England, CIO </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Charles Exum Jr, VDC Ops (CSC) Jim Greene, CIO Terry Hardgrave, EA (Pearson) Renu Mahalingam, CIO EA Dana Riley, CIO EA Carolyn Washington, FP Bob Wehrle, EAI / ITA (IBM) Deb White, EA (Pearson) Jes Yu, EAI / ITA </td> </tr> </table>	Denise Hill, FSA Enterprise Architecture Vicki Adkins, Schools Jackie Anderson, FP Mark Anderson, EPMS Randy Blaustein, EAI / ITA (PPS) Tim Bornholtz, CIO Emanuel Bundy, FP Larry Doe, ILSC (Anteon) David Elliott, CIO Sandy England, CIO	Charles Exum Jr, VDC Ops (CSC) Jim Greene, CIO Terry Hardgrave, EA (Pearson) Renu Mahalingam, CIO EA Dana Riley, CIO EA Carolyn Washington, FP Bob Wehrle, EAI / ITA (IBM) Deb White, EA (Pearson) Jes Yu, EAI / ITA
Denise Hill, FSA Enterprise Architecture Vicki Adkins, Schools Jackie Anderson, FP Mark Anderson, EPMS Randy Blaustein, EAI / ITA (PPS) Tim Bornholtz, CIO Emanuel Bundy, FP Larry Doe, ILSC (Anteon) David Elliott, CIO Sandy England, CIO	Charles Exum Jr, VDC Ops (CSC) Jim Greene, CIO Terry Hardgrave, EA (Pearson) Renu Mahalingam, CIO EA Dana Riley, CIO EA Carolyn Washington, FP Bob Wehrle, EAI / ITA (IBM) Deb White, EA (Pearson) Jes Yu, EAI / ITA		
Agenda:	<u>Agenda:</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Portal Server Technical Assessment		
Action Items:	<p>Previous:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. June – Srini Kankanahalli (6/15): Improve the communication of the System Security Requirements to the system developers. The System Developers are not getting the information they need from the SSOs. <i>Update (9/14):</i> Bob Ingwalson recently awarded a contract to certify SSOs that is intended to assist SSOs in doing their job better. The work has not yet started, but Srini will provide periodic updates to the Architecture Working Group as activities develop. 2. June – Srini Kankanahalli (6/15): Does Tivoli Access Manager integrate with Cisco Works? <i>Update:</i> The comment was made that IBM says integration is possible. Need further clarification. 3. June – Denise Hill (6/15): Assign resources to look into Shutdown plan / procedures and Popkin tie-in with Telelogic (Doors Interface). 4. Joe Dickman / Nina Colon (6/15): Joe and Nina to meet to discuss the role-based assignments between CSB and PIN Site. <i>Update:</i> Joe to call Nina and schedule a meeting time. 5. Dana Riley (8/10): Work with Srini Kankanahalli to get the date that agencies are expected to have P3P implemented. What is the effective date of the Section 208 requirement? Does the P3P policy apply only to agency public facing sites or all web sites? <i>Update (9/14):</i> Denise and Srini advised the group no guidance has been circulated on this topic yet. 6. Deb White / Terry Hardgrave (8/10): Can the work that CSB has done on P3P (tools assessment, etc) be used by other projects? Update standards in appropriate sections (policy, tools, implementation). Add 208 legislation to the EA site. 7. Deb White (8/10): Update the governance policy with current membership names, processes, and format. When will it be in draft and final formats? <i>Update (9/14):</i> The draft Governance Policy will be revised and distributed at the October meeting. 		

8. **Dana Riley (9/14):** Identify all of the current FSA standard products that support version control and release management capabilities.
9. **Terry Hardgrave (9/14):** Propose a method to identify the software / tools that are included with the purchase of an FSA Standard purchase, such as Interwoven. Purchases of this nature may not necessarily become standards.
10. **Denise Hill (9/14):** Track the following OMB related items and report progress to the Architecture Working Group:
 - o P3P – consider CSB as a model for FSA, but there has not been enough guidance published yet.
 - o Security and Privacy Profile. OMB has provided a draft Security & Privacy Profile. As a member of the S&PP review board, Denise has provided comments to OMB and will provide updates to the Architecture Working Group as progress is made.
 - o OMB plans to require agencies to adopt search engine standards and apply a uniform tagging system to identify government information by unique URL.

New:

1. **Sandy England (9/28):** At the end of Phase 2, the ITA Team will deliver a Portal Server demonstration to the Architecture Working Group.

Closed:

1. **Randy Blaustein (9/14):** Does Control Hub / Open Deploy6 understand and can it utilize the SSL Protocol? Has a risk assessment been completed to determine the need to use SSL? *Closed (9/28):* A risk assessment has not been undertaken to determine the need for SSL. Team Site / Control Hub supports SSL. OpenDeploy supports both 40-bit key file-based encryption and SSL.
2. **Randy Blaustein (9/14):** Can the EAI / ITA Team utilize WBI Workflow instead of Workflow Plus Pack? Evaluate Workflow integration with 3rd party release management tool for use as a common platform. *Closed (9/28):* The Workflow Plus Pack is an enhancement for TeamSite's integrated workflow engine allowing for reporting on workflow related tasks. As with many other enterprise class applications TeamSite has a fully integrated workflow engine. At this time industry has not developed and accepted a workflow standard permitting interoperability with other enterprise products. Therefore workflow integration with 3rd party tools, though possible through a significant development effort, is not practical at this time.
3. **Sandy England (9/14):** Requested to modify the Portal Server Technical Assessment presentation to succinctly state the steps that have been / need to be taken. Research disruptive technologies that will help us figure out where we need to go by looking at the target vision requirements, not today's current environment. *Closed (9/28).* See revised presentation given at the 9/28 meeting.
4. **David Elliott (9/28):** Speak to EDNet / VDC representatives and determine whether the current DNS Server can replicate to EDNet. *Closed (10/1):* The use of the Department's DNS is now available for use within the VDC. The DNS cache service was opened for use by servers & devices within the VDC by SCR 2871. This means that the routes and data paths to the VDC can now make use of this service. A separate ECM has been placed to activate this service at the VDC side of the network. This should be enabled within the next two weeks as a result.

	<p><i>What does this mean to FSA?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Use of a shadow or recursive VDC DNS service is not required. * Use of host tables on servers as a substitute for DNS should be lessened or become obsolete. * Servers, devices (networking & storage), and applications may now make use of this service, in lieu of locally (VDC) maintained files. * Security is maintained due to the location, use and access of the Department's DNS via FSA's dedicated connection to the VDC. * The DNS cache has ample processing capability, as its current utilization is less than 10%. * Centralized coordination of host's names will need to be tightly coordinated, to insure proper access for FSA assets. This may require submission or resubmission of DNS names & IPs to remove inconsistencies, from what may have in host table files.
Issues/Risks:	n/a
Next Meeting:	<p>Tuesday, October 12, 2004 9:30 am – 11:00 am 830 1st Street, NE, UCP (room 31H1)</p> <p>Expected Topics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Approval of previous meeting's minutes o Security & Privacy Profile (Denise Hill) o Web Content Management (Denise Hill / Dana Riley) <p>Future</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Enterprise Performance Testing - Terry Woods o CSB P3P Policy Review - Joe Dickman – CSB Delivery Team o November – ILCS report on progress of modeling tool metadata integration. o November – Web Content Management: Use Common Content, Terminology, and Placement: Required Website Policies and Links o ? – EAI / ITA (Sandy England) update on Control Hub. Is it able to work from a dual network as a bridge between the development and production network – or – consider 3 environments (development, acceptance and production)? o March '05 – Once the Phase 2 Portal Assessment is complete, the ITA Team will provide a demonstration to the Architecture Working Group. Include discussion on cost.

Meeting Discussion Items:

- o **Portal Server Technical Assessment**
 - Sandy England and Robert Wehrle continued the EAI / ITA team's discussion from the September 14th meeting on IBM's WebSphere Portal Server.
 - The ITA Team looked for a portal server solution that follows standards such as OASIS, JSR168, etc.
 - Automatic transformation supports the movement of content from a web browser to a blackberry, phone, etc.

- Advanced customization enables the user to customize borders, arrange content and post bulletin boards.
 - Question: if FSA moves toward Portal Server and it supports web content management, will we eliminate Interwoven? Answer: No. Interwoven's content management facility is much more robust than Portal Server. Web Content Management is meant for those companies / agencies that don't have a content management solution.
 - Likewise, Portal Server's capability to provide search functionality does not eliminate the use of Google.
 - Slide 4 – Portal Server is only available on Windows NT and Solaris. Within the next 2 quarters, it will be available on HP.
 - The ITA Team is actively running the pilot now and will do so through January, with a migration to HP (and Oracle database) in the November timeframe.
 - Slide 6 – Of the four products analyzed, IBM WebSphere Portal v5 was the only product compatible within the FSA environment.
 - Question: Is it possible for the current DNS Server to replicate to EDNet? Answer: David Elliott action item.
 - The ITA Team is currently filling an evaluation / demonstration role until it can be determined whether the FEBI Solution requires a Portal Server solution. There is currently no business justification driving the implementation of a Portal Server solution.
 - **(See Portal AWG.v3.02.ppt).**
- **Adjourn.**
 - The next meeting will be held on Tuesday, October 12th in room 31H1.